McCarthy/Teszler Learning Center 175 Burdette Street Spartanburg, South Carolina 29307 K-12 High School GRADES 226 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. Joette C. Johnson 864-596-8491 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. J. Lynn Batten 864-594-4400 David W. Cecil, II 864-594-4400 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: N/A Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A IMPROVEMENT RATING: N/A ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 4 out of 4 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	No
2004	N/A	N/A	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	N/A	N/A

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	N/A

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	N/A	N/A		
Number of Diplomas	N/A	N/A		
Rate	N/A	N/A		

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

N/A

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S		
Gender									
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African-American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

HSAP PERFORMANCE			щ,		щ,	щ,			Η,
	Enrollment 1st	؍ اھ	% Below Basis	ş /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Of The Participation Participa
] jej j	" Tested	N B	% Basic		anc la	ie / je	2 E	je / iji jedi
		/ %	/ %	/ %	1 4	1 Ag		9.40	ig
	E	/	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1% \$	/ [©] S	12.9
Engl	/ ish/Langua				/ Objective	/			
All Students	21	95.2	20.0	6.7	53.3	20.0	73.3	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
African-American	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Disabled	17	94.1	25.0	8.3	41.7	25.0	66.7	I/S	1/
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	21	95.2	20.0	6.7	53.3	20.0	73.3	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	21	95.2	20.0	6.7	53.3	20.0	73.3	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status		,	,					,	
Subsidized meals	14	92.9	20.0	10.0	40.0	30.0	70.0	I/S	1/3
Full-pay meals	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	21	95.2	20.0	20.0	46.7	13.3	73.3	YES	YE
Gender									
Male	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Female	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
African-American	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Disabled	17	94.1	25.0	16.7	41.7	16.7	66.7	I/S	1/3
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	21	95.2	20.0	20.0	46.7	13.3	73.3	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	21	95.2	20.0	20.0	46.7	13.3	73.3	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	14	92.9	20.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	70.0	I/S	1/3
Full-pay meals	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

* Prior year audited financial data are reported.		
	Our District	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools**	93.8%	92.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**	89.4%	91.1%
	State Objective	Met State Objectiv
Highly qualified teachers in this school**	65.0%	Yes

Up from 83.2%

Down from Yes

95.3%

N/A

79.0%

Average

Yes

89.3%

Yes

ve

No

Good

86.8%

No

Parents attending conferences

Student attendance in this school

Character development program Unsatisfactory

SACS accreditation

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The McCarthy/Teszler School began a new era in 2003 with the opening of a new \$12 million facility specifically designed to meet the needs of the special population we serve. With the additional classroom space, multi-purpose spaces, computer lab, therapeutic pool, multi-sensory therapy areas and many, many more state of the art areas, we now have the facility to provide better programming for a variety of children's needs.

During the 2003-2004 school year, we participated in a variety of school sponsored and district sponsored initatives. We applied for and were one of 78 out of over 300 applicants in the state to receive the Red Carpet Award from the State Department of Education. The award is given to schools that are considered family-friendly and have excellent "customer" services available. During this process, we developed a new brochure about our school and reviewed our parent communication techniques.

We hosted a building dedication ceremony in April that was attended by many former employees and students and involved participation from each of the seven school districts of Spartanburg County. It was well attended and was an enjoyable day for students and staff alike.

We have continued to emphasize parental participation. We hosted an Open House before school began to allow parents and students to tour and become familiar with the new school. We invited parents to attend Terrific Kids programs and hosted awards day ceremonies throughout the year. At our end of the year annual review meetings, it was noted that attendance by parents had increased which was seen by the staff as encouraging.

The community and the school disticts are proud of the work that is done at McCarthy/Teszler School. We will contine to make students' potential the most important part of what we do.

Dr. Joette Johnson, Principal

Pam Dean, SIC Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	42	0	23				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	78.6%	N/R	87.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.7%	N/R	91.3%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	75.6%	N/R	72.7%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11 only	the highest grade	was included				